ROTATIONS BY ROOTS OF UNITY AND DIOPHANTINE APPROXIMATION

ROMANOS-DIOGENES MALIKIOSIS

Abstract. For a fixed integer n, we study the question whether at least one of the numbers $\Re X\omega^k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, is ε-close to an integer, for any possible value of $X \in \mathbb{C}$, where ω is a primitive nth root of unity. It turns out that there is always a X for which the above numbers are concentrated around $1/2$ mod 1. The distance from $1/2$ depends only on the local properties of n, rather than its magnitude. This is directly related the so–called "pyjama" problem which was solved recently.

arXiv:1510.03645v1 [math.NT] 13 Oct 2015

1. Introduction

Let n be a positive integer and define $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$. For a fixed arbitrarily small value of $\varepsilon > 0$ it could be expected that for large values of n, there is at least one among the numbers $\Re X\omega^k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ who is within ε distance from an integer, for every choice of $X \in \mathbb{C}$. However, it turns out that there are values of X for which all numbers $\{\Re X\omega^k\}$, where $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of x, are close to $1/2$, in particular they all fall within the interval $[1/3, 2/3]$. Furthermore, we find out that they could be confined in a possibly smaller interval; the length of the interval around $1/2$ does not depend on the magnitude of n rather on its local properties. In particular, the main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *With notation as above, let $n > 1$ be an integer and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is $X \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\{\Re X\omega^k\} \in (\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$, if and only if*

(1) $\varepsilon < \frac{p-1}{2p}$, *when n is not a power of 2 and p is the smallest odd prime dividing n.*
(2) $\varepsilon < 1/2$, *otherwise.*

Phrased differently, this could be considered as the cyclotomic case of the "pyjama" problem [2], which states:

Problem 1.2. *Let E_ε denote the set of equidistant vertical stripes on $\mathbb{C}$ of width 2ε:*

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \Re z \in \mathbb{Z} + [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]\}.$$

Can we cover the entire plane by finitely many rotations of E_ε, for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$?

If $X \notin E_\varepsilon$, then $\{\Re X\} \in (\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)$, and we can easily deduce that if X does not belong to any rotation of E_ε under the set of modulus one complex numbers $\Theta = \{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\}$, then $\{\Re X\theta_k^{-1}\} \in (\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)$ for all k. The cyclotomic case that is treated herein, considers the n-th roots of unity

$$\Theta = \{e^{2\pi ik/n} | 1 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11J71.

Key words and phrases. Pyjama problem, Kronecker approximation Theorem, vanishing sums of roots of unity.

The author is supported by a Postdoctoral Fellowship from Humboldt Foundation.

As Theorem 1.1 states, all numbers $\Re X\omega^k$ are far from being integers for some choices of X; this was already noted in [6], but the proof was omitted as it didn't contribute to the solution of Problem 1.2. So, what happens for other choices of angles? Taking θ_k to be $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent also fails [6], since in that case there are choices of X such that $\left\{\Re X\theta_k^{-1}\right\} \approx 1/2$ for all k. On the other extreme, if the dimension of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by θ_k is as small as possible, then it is equal to 2, and all these numbers belong to the same imaginary quadratic field[1]. However, in this case as well, there are always $X \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\left\{\Re X\theta_k^{-1}\right\} \in [1/3, 2/3]$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$ [6]. The pyjama problem was solved in the affirmative [7], where for every $\varepsilon > 0$ an appropriate rotation set was constructed that belongs to a biquadratic extension. In the current setting, it is proven in [7] that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are positive integers n, N, such that for every $X \in \mathbb{C}$ there is some $\theta_k \in \Theta'(n, N)$ satisfying $\left\{\Re X\theta_k^{-1}\right\} \in [0, \varepsilon)$ or $(1-\varepsilon, 1)$. This set $\Theta'(n, N)$ can be written as

$$\Theta'(n, N) = \Theta_N \cup \zeta_1^{(n)}\Theta_N \cup \zeta_2^{(n)}\Theta_N,$$

where $\zeta_1^{(n)}$, $\zeta_2^{(n)}$ unit complex numbers satisfying

$$n(1 + \zeta_1^{(n)}) = \zeta_2^{(n)},$$

while

$$\Theta_N = \{\theta_5^r\theta_{13}^s | 0 \leq r, s \leq N\},$$

where

$$\theta_5 = \frac{-3+4i}{5},\ \theta_{13} = \frac{-5+12i}{13}.$$

The notation is justified by the fact that $\theta_5 = \mathcal{P}_5/\overline{\mathcal{P}_5}$ and $\theta_{13} = \mathcal{P}_{13}/\overline{P_{13}}$, where $\mathcal{P}_5 = 1+2i$ and $\mathcal{P}_{13} = 2+3i$, the primes in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ that divide 5 and 13, respectively[2]. Apparently, all elements of $\Theta'(n, N)$ belong to the biquadratic extension $\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{4n^2-1})$.

The main tools for the proof of Theorem 1.1 are Kronecker's approximation theorem [3], which is used to characterize the set of the n-tuples $(\Re X\theta_1^{-1}, \ldots, \Re X\theta_n^{-1})$ in Section 2, and a theorem proved independently by Rédei [8, 9], de Brujin [1], and Schoenberg [10] regarding vanishing $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations of nth roots of unity; the proof of Theorem 1.1 is in Section 3.

2. Structure of the set of all $(\{\Re X\alpha_1\}, \ldots, \{\Re X\alpha_n\})$

Denote by $\mathbb{T}^n$ the n-dimensional torus which is isomorphic to $[0, 1)^n$ under the appropriate topology and group structure. In this section we will investigate the structure of the subset of all n-tuples of the form $(\{\Re X\alpha_1\}, \{\Re X\alpha_2\}, \ldots, \{\Re X\alpha_n\})$ over all $X \in \mathbb{C}$, for some vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. It is natural to work with the periodization of this set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, which is

$$\{(\Re X\alpha_1, \ldots, \Re X\alpha_n) | X \in \mathbb{C}\} + \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

or simpler,

$$\mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{R}\Re\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbb{R}\Im\boldsymbol{\alpha},$$

where $\Re\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\Re\alpha_1, \ldots, \Re\alpha_n)$ and $\Re S = \{\Re s | s \in S\}$, for any $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ (similarly for $\Im$). Define

$$\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} := \{\boldsymbol{l} = (l_1, \ldots, l_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n | \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} = 0\}.$$

We can simply write

$$\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathbb{Z}^n \cap \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\perp}.$$

Next, define $V_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} := \Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, and $\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^*$ the dual lattice of Λ_α in $V_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$, with inner product inherited from $\mathbb{R}^n$, and let

$$\mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} := \Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^* \oplus V_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\perp}.$$

[1] Assuming that one rotation is the identity, or equivalently, $\theta_i = 1$ for some i

[2] As it is pointed out in [7], any primes of the form 1 mod 4 would yield this result.

We have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^n | \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \boldsymbol{l} \in \Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\}.$$

The following Lemma is crucial towards the proof of Theorem 1.1; it follows from Kronecker's approximation theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (Kronecker, [3])**.** *Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_k, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are integers $q_1, \ldots, q_k$ and a vector $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i - \boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon,$$

if and only if for every $\boldsymbol{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ we also have $\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Taking the coordinates of the above vectors mod1, the above theorem gives a characterization of the closure of the subgroup of $\mathbb{T}^n$ generated by $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i \bmod \mathbb{Z}^n$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. In particular, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ as above. Then, $\mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is the closure of $\mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{R}\Re\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbb{R}\Im\boldsymbol{\alpha}$.*

Proof. Inclusion is obvious: let $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{R}\Re\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbb{R}\Im\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ be arbitrary. So, $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{n} + x\Re\boldsymbol{\alpha} + y\Im\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ for some $\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, take $\boldsymbol{l} \in \Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ be arbitrary. We have

$$\boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} + x(\boldsymbol{l} \cdot \Re\boldsymbol{\alpha}) + y(\boldsymbol{l} \cdot \Im\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

since $\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ by definition. Hence, $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$.

Now let $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ be arbitrary. We will approximate $\boldsymbol{x}$ by elements of $\mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{R}\Re\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbb{R}\Im\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ as close as possible. First, we assume $\alpha_n \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\alpha_n = 1$; the sets $\mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, V_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ remain unchanged if we multiply $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ by any nonzero complex number. It suffices to prove that the double sequence

$$(x_n+k)\Re\boldsymbol{\alpha}+m\Im\boldsymbol{\alpha}-\boldsymbol{x} = ((x_n+k)\Re\alpha_1+m\Im\alpha_1-x_1, \ldots, (x_n+k)\Re\alpha_{n-1}+m\Im\alpha_{n-1}-x_{n-1}, k), k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

has terms arbitrarily close to $\mathbb{Z}^n$, or equivalently, the double sequence

$$k(\Re\alpha_1, \ldots, \Re\alpha_{n-1}) + m(\Im\alpha_1, \ldots, \Im\alpha_{n-1}), k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

has terms arbitrarily close to $(x_1 - x_n\Re\alpha_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} - x_n\Re\alpha_{n-1}) + \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. Consider the projection $\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ that "forgets" the last coordinate and the natural epimorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} = \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$. Since $\alpha_n = 1$, we have

$$\pi(\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) = \left\{(l_1, \ldots, l_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} | l_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + l_{n-1}\alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}.$$

By Kronecker's approximation theorem, the closure of the subgroup of $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ generated by

$$\pi(\Re\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} = (\Re\alpha_1, \ldots, \Re\alpha_{n-1}) \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$$

and

$$\pi(\Im\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} = (\Im\alpha_1, \ldots, \Im\alpha_{n-1}) \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$$

is the set of all $(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) + \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ for which $l_1a_1 + \cdots + l_{n-1}a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ whenever $l_1, \ldots l_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l_1\Re\alpha_1 + \cdots + l_{n-1}\Re\alpha_{n-1}, l_1\Im\alpha_1 + \cdots + l_{n-1}\Im\alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$, i. e. $(l_1, \ldots, l_{n-1}) \in \pi(\Lambda_\alpha)$. In other words, it suffices to prove that

$$\pi(\boldsymbol{l}) \cdot (x_1 - x_n\Re\alpha_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} - x_n\Re\alpha_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

whenever $\boldsymbol{l} \in \Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$. So, when $\boldsymbol{l} \in \Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$, by definition we have $\boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $\boldsymbol{l} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x} - x_n\Re\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{Z}$. The latter is obviously equal to the desired inner product, so the proof is finished for $\alpha_n \neq 0$.

If $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$, then one coordinate is different from zero, say α_i; as above we may assume that $\alpha_i = 1$ and we argue as before, with π replaced by $\pi^i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, the projection that "forgets" the i-th coordinate. If $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{0}$, then obviously $\mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathbb{Z}^n$. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.3. *When the coordinates of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, then the set*

$$\{(\{\Re x\alpha_1\},\dots,\{\Re x\alpha_n\})|x\in\mathbb{C})\}$$

is dense in $\mathbb{T}^n$.

Proof. By hypothesis, we have

$$\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = V_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \Lambda^*_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$$

and

$$V^{\perp}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathbb{R}^n,$$

therefore $\mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{R}\Re\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbb{R}\Im\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^n$ by Lemma 2.2, which is equivalent to the desired conclusion. □

Remark 2.4. The multidimensional version of Weyl's criterion [11, 4] gives something stronger than Kronecker's approximation theorem, in particular that the subgroup of $\mathbb{T}^n$ generated by $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i \bmod \mathbb{Z}^n$ is equidistributed in the set of all $\boldsymbol{\beta} \bmod \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\boldsymbol{r}\cdot\beta\in\mathbb{Z}$. whenever $\boldsymbol{r}\cdot\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i\in\mathbb{Z}$ for all i, but this is not necessary for our purposes.

Let $\delta_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ be the l^∞ distance from $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n$ to $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$, where $\mathbf{1}_n=(1,1,\dots,1)$ denotes the all-1 vector. The above remark yields $\delta_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=0$ whenever $\operatorname{rank}\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=0$, i. e. when the coordinates of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. We can also compute $\delta_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ when $\operatorname{rank}\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=1$, that is, when $\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is generated by a single vector $\boldsymbol{l}=(l_1,\dots,l_n)$ for which $\gcd(l_1,\dots,l_n)=1$.

$\mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is then the union of the hyperplanes $H_M=\{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n|\boldsymbol{l}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}=M\}$, for all $M\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then,

$$\delta_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \inf_{M\in\mathbb{Z}}\inf_{\boldsymbol{x}\in H_M}\|\boldsymbol{x}-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n\|_\infty.$$

We need the following:

Lemma 2.5. *For each $M\in\mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\inf_{x\in H_M}\|\boldsymbol{x}-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n\|_\infty = \frac{|M-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{l}\cdot\mathbf{1}_n|}{\|\boldsymbol{l}\|_1}.$$

Proof. If $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n\in H_M$, then both sides are equal to 0. We assume that $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n\notin H_M$. By Hölder's extremal equality, we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{l}\|_1 = \sup_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n\}}\frac{|\boldsymbol{l}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n)|}{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n\|_\infty}.$$

By homogeneity of the above norms, this supremum remains invariant if we restrict $\boldsymbol{x}$ to H_M. Indeed, let $\boldsymbol{x}_t=\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n+t(\boldsymbol{x}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n)$. Then, we observe that

$$\frac{|\boldsymbol{l}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}_t-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n)|}{\|\boldsymbol{x}_t-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n\|_\infty} = \frac{|t|\cdot|\boldsymbol{l}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n)|}{|t|\cdot\|\boldsymbol{x}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n\|_\infty} = \frac{|\boldsymbol{l}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n)|}{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n\|_\infty}$$

so this expression remains invariant on any ray emanating from $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n$. It suffices to consider the rays intersecting H_M; those who are parallel to H_M satisfy $\boldsymbol{l}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n)=0$ and do not contribute to the above supremum. Therefore,

$$\|\boldsymbol{l}\|_1 = \sup_{\boldsymbol{x}\in H_M}\frac{|\boldsymbol{l}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n)|}{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n\|_\infty} = \frac{|M-\boldsymbol{l}\cdot\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n|}{\inf_{\boldsymbol{x}\in H_M}\|\boldsymbol{x}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n\|_\infty}$$

which easily yields the desired result. □

Theorem 2.6. *We have $\delta_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = 0$ when $\|\boldsymbol{l}\|_1$ is even, and $\delta_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2\|\boldsymbol{l}\|_1}$ otherwise.*

Proof. If $\|\boldsymbol{l}\|_1$ is even, then $\boldsymbol{l} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n \in \mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$, hence $\delta_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = 0$. Otherwise, $\boldsymbol{l} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n$ is a half integer. Using the above Lemma,

$$\delta_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \frac{\inf_{M\in\mathbb{Z}}|M - \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n|}{\|\boldsymbol{l}\|_1} = \frac{1}{2\|\boldsymbol{l}\|_1}. \qquad \square$$

We now restrict to modulus one complex numbers and let $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \in (S^1)^n$. Consider a fixed $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$. By definition there is some $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ such that $\{\xi_k\} \in (\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)$ for all k if and only if $\delta_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} < \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$. Then, from Lemma 2.2 we deduce the following corollary:

Corollary 2.7. *With notation as above, there is some $X \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\{\Re X\theta_k\} \in (\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)$ for all k, $1 \le k \le n$, if and only if $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2} - \delta_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$.*

Remark 2.8. In reference to the "pyjama" problem and the invariance of $\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$, $V_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$, $\mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$, and $\delta_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ under complex conjugation of the coordinates of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, the above Corollary is equivalent to the following statement: *the union the rotations of E_ε by $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$ covers $\mathbb{C}$ if and only if $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2} - \delta_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$.*

3. Roots of unity and proof of main result

We further restrict to rotations that correspond to roots of unity. Let $\omega_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ be a primitive root of unity, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_n = (1, \omega_n, \omega_n^2, \ldots, \omega_n^{n-1})$, and denote by Λ_n the lattice $\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\omega}_n}$. Similarly, denote $\mathcal{E}_n := \mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\omega}_n}$ and $\delta_n := \delta_{\boldsymbol{\omega}_n}$. When $n = p$ is a prime, then Λ_p has rank one[3] and is generated by $\mathbf{1}_p$. Then, by Theorem 2.6 we get $\delta_2 = 0$ and

$$\delta_p = \frac{1}{2p}, \tag{3.1}$$

for an odd prime p. Moreover, when m divides n, the rotations of $X \in \mathbb{C}$ by mth roots of unity is a subset those by nth roots of unity, hence we obtain

$$\delta_m \le \delta_n, \quad \text{if} \quad m|n. \tag{3.2}$$

In order to compute δ_n for all n, we need a nice characterization of Λ_n, or equivalently, we need to characterize all $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations of nth roots of unity that amount to 0. This characterization was first given by Rédei [8] with an incomplete proof[4]; complete proofs were later given by de Brujin [1], Rédei [9], and Schoenberg [10].

Denote by $\mathbf{e}(i, k)$ the unit vector in $\mathbb{C}^k$ whose ith coordinate is equal to 1. Finally, we will denote by $\otimes$ the usual Kronecker product, and by Φ_n the cyclotomic polynomial of order n.

Lemma 3.1 ([1, 8, 9, 10])**.** *Λ_n is generated by the vectors $\mathbf{1}_p \otimes \mathbf{e}(i, \frac{n}{p})$, for all primes $p \mid n$ and $1 \le i \le \frac{n}{p}$.*

[3]This follows easily from the fact that $[\mathbb{Q}(\omega_p) : \mathbb{Q}] = p - 1$ for p prime

[4]This is mentioned in [1]; see also [5]

Remark 3.2. Notice that the above vectors form a basis of Λ_n precisely when n is a prime power. In the general case, the above Lemma states that all $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations of nth roots of unity that vanish, are essentially $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations of formal sums of the form

$$\zeta(1+\omega_p+\cdots+\omega_p^{p-1}),$$

where ζ is any nth root of unity and p is any prime divisor of n.

We will now construct a point in $\mathcal{E}_n$ whose distance from $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n$ is precisely $\frac{1}{2p}$, where p is the smallest odd prime dividing n, when n is not a power of 2. In the remaining case, we will show that $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n \in \mathcal{E}_n$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume first that n is a power of 2. Then, by Lemma 3.1 the lattice Λ_n is generated by the vectors $\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}(i, \frac{n}{2})$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$. Hence, the inner product of the vectors $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n$ and $\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}(i, \frac{n}{2})$ is equal to 1 for all i. This clearly shows that $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n \in \mathcal{E}_n$, hence $\delta_n = 0$, as was to be shown.

Next, assume that n is not a power of 2, and let p be its smallest odd prime divisor. Consider the following polynomials:

$$\Psi_2(X) = X-1,\ \Psi_p(X) = \Phi_p(X),$$
$$\Psi_q(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{(q+p)/2} X^i - \sum_{i=\frac{q+p}{2}+1}^{q-1} X^i, q > p, q \mid n.$$

Next, define

$$T(X) = \sum_{j \geqslant 0} \tau_j X^j := \frac{X^{\frac{n}{m}}-1}{X-1} \prod_{q \mid n} \Psi_q(X^{\frac{n}{q}})$$

where m denotes the square-free part of n. If we expand the product on the right, we get n terms of the form

$$\pm X^{j+\sum_{q \mid n} j_q \frac{n}{q}}$$

where $0 \leqslant j \leqslant \frac{n}{m}-1$, $0 \leqslant j_q \leqslant q-1$, for all primes q dividing n. It is not hard to verify that the above exponents are pairwise incongruent modulo n. So, if we let $\rho(X)$ be the remainder of $T(X)$ divided by X^n-1, we will have

$$\rho(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_j X^j$$

where $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$ for all j. Next, consider the vector

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \left(\frac{p-\varepsilon_0}{2p}, \frac{p-\varepsilon_1}{2p}, \ldots, \frac{p-\varepsilon_{n-1}}{2p} \right).$$

We will show that $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathcal{E}_n$. Let l be an arbitrary prime dividing n; the inner product of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ with $\mathbf{1}_l \otimes \mathbf{e}(i, \frac{n}{l})$ is

$$\frac{l}{2} - \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{j \equiv i \bmod \frac{n}{l}} \varepsilon_j = \frac{l}{2} - \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{j \equiv i \bmod \frac{n}{l}} \tau_j.$$

From the definition of $T(X)$, we must have

$$\sum_{j \equiv i \bmod \frac{n}{l}} \tau_j X^j = \pm X^{j+\sum_{q \mid \frac{n}{l}} j_q \frac{n}{q}} \Psi_l(X^{\frac{n}{l}})$$

where q runs through the primes dividing $\frac{n}{l}$ and j, j_q ($q \neq l$) are the unique integers satisfying $0 \leqslant j \leqslant \frac{n}{m}-1$, $0 \leqslant j_q \leqslant q-1$, and

$$j + \sum_{q \mid \frac{n}{l}} j_q \frac{n}{q} \equiv i \bmod \frac{n}{l}.$$

Thus, $\sum_{j\equiv i \bmod \frac{n}{l}} \tau_j = \pm\Psi_l(1)$. If l is odd, this sum is equal to $\pm p$, and if $l = 2$, it is equal to 0. At any rate, we have $\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{1}_l \otimes \mathbf{e}(i, \frac{n}{l})\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$, therefore by Lemma 3.1 we get $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathcal{E}_n$. The l^∞ distance from $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_n$ to $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is precisely $\frac{1}{2p}$, so $\delta_n \leq \frac{1}{2p}$. On the other hand, $\delta_n \geq \delta_p$ by (3.2), and $\delta_p = \frac{1}{2p}$ by (3.1), thus $\delta_n = \frac{1}{2p}$. Finally, Corollary 2.7 yields the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 by observing that $1/2 - \delta_n = \frac{p-1}{2p}$, when n is not a power of 2, while $1/2 - \delta_n = 1/2$ otherwise. □

References

[1] N. G. de Bruijn, "On the factorization of cyclic groups" *Indag. Math.* **15**, 370–377 (1953)

[2] A. Iosevich, M. N. Kolountzakis, M. Matolcsi, "Covering the plane by rotations of a lattice arrangement of disks" *Complex and Harmonic Analysis, Proceedings of the International Conference, Thessaloniki, May 25-27, 2006*, Destech Publications Inc., (2007) (eds: A. Carbery, P. L. Duren, D. Khavison, A. G. Siskakis).

[3] L. Kronecker, "Näherungsweise ganzzahlige Auflösung linearer Gleichungen" *Berl. Ber.* 1271–1300 (1885)

[4] L. Kuipers, H. Niederreiter, "Uniform distribution of sequences" *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. New York etc.: John Wiley & Sons, a Wiley- Interscience Publication. XIV, 390 p. (1974)

[5] T. Y. Lam, K. H. Leung, "On vanishing sums of roots of unity" *J. Algebra* **224**, no. 1, 91–109 (2000)

[6] R. D. Malikiosis, M. Matolcsi, I. Z. Ruzsa, "A note on the pyjama problem" *European Journal of Combinatorics* **34** (7), 1071–1077 (2013)

[7] F. Manners, "A solution to the pyjama problem" to appear in *Invent. math.* (online version). arXiv preprint: http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.1514

[8] L. Rédei, "Ein Beitrag zum Problem der Faktorisation von Abelschen Gruppen" *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **1**, 197–207 (1950)

[9] L. Rédei, "Über das Kreisteilungspolynom", *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **5**, 27–28 (1954)

[10] I. J. Schoenberg, "A note on the cyclotomic polynomial" *Mathematika* **11**, 131–136 (1964)

[11] H. Weyl, "Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins" *Math. Ann.* **77**, 313–352 (1916)

Technische Universität Berlin, Institut für Mathematik, Sekretariat MA 4-1, Strasse des 17. Juni 136, D-10623 Berlin, Germany

E-mail address: malikios@math.tu-berlin.de